



# Response to Public Notice

CASE NUMBER P07-1222

Please enter any comments you may have about this proposal below. (Please print or type all information):

COMMENTS: \_\_\_\_\_

We, the undersigned, live directly across from the proposed disaggregation of 6240 and 6260 Hawarden Drive. While we have no objection to the subdivision of these properties (assuming respect of the existent rules as to acreage, which we understand to be minimally 2.5 acres per new home); we DO have the following concerns, which we have previously & informally discussed with our neighbors (& friends) Emily & Garry Lawson. Specifically:

- 1) The creation & location of a new entrance driveway. We are opposed to any driveway being situated directly in front of our residence, thereby impacting our front door, front bedrooms, living room, & dining room. We have suggested that any drive be situated at the top of our driveway which would then avoid headlights and traffic across the front of our home. For both material & safety issues, this proposed entryway would secure our property's integrity & provide more secure access to the lots across the street.
- 2) That care be taken in the construction of new dwellings on the 2 properties that would not derail the historic preservation of the original Hawarden Dr. i.e. leave the city trees and palms in place adjacent to the front of the lots (there is a prohibition in effect on this old section of Hawarden Dr. which disallows sidewalks and street lights). This proposal would allow for not only the city's easement's integrity; but, would also secure the natural beauty of all impacted properties.
- 3) Care be taken to ensure that the water reservoir (circa early 1900's) on these properties be secured against construction damage & possible/subsequent flooding.
- 4) We request all further notifications, modifications, proposals & any hearings on this property subdivision.

Thank you for your kind attention to our concerns.

APN: 241120011 Apts: I, Turk & Ruth-ellen M. Grimes

NAME: AUSTIN T. TURK & RUTH-ELLEN M. GRIMES

ADDRESS: 6255 HAWARDEN DRIVE

APT/UNIT #:

CITY: RIVERSIDE

STATE: CA

ZIP CODE: 92506-4525

DATE: Jan. 18, 2008

PHONE: (951) 684-0339

*This form is pre-addressed. Just fold it, affix a stamp and tape the bottom edge closed.*

cc: Emily and Garry Lawson

**RESPONSE TO PUBLIC NOTICE**

**Case Number: P12-0393(PM), P14-0394(VR), P14-0640(COA)**

**Applicant: John Pitchford and Emily Lawson**

**Date: August 20, 2014**

I, the undersigned, residing at 6255 Hawarden Drive, directly across from PROPERTY LOCATION PROPOSAL set out above, have no opposition to the disaggregation of said property into 3 parcels as outlined in the PROPOSAL. Specifically the creation of one parcel containing the "Walton/Merriman" residence of no less than 2 acres; the variance on the existent parcel containing the residence at 6260 Hawarden Dr. to allow for an increase in acreage from the current 0.63 acres to 1.02 acres; and the granting of a Certificate of Appropriateness for the proposed removal or significant alteration to the existing barn, reservoir and irrigation trough. This support presumes the concerns this resident, set out in response to the Public Notice CASE NUMBER P07-1222 {(dated & signed Jan.18,2008) attached}, will continue to be in effect.

Comments as to the current proposal:

p. 10 under heading 5. CULTURAL RESPONSES: Complete agreement, given first hand knowledge & familiarity with the barn/garage and reservoir & irrigation trough, with the owners recognition of the decrepit nature of the structures requiring demolition of the wooden structures; and alternation/modification of the aging reservoir.

p.12 question as to the viability as to the use of the exterior siding materials for salvage and/or offered to an appropriate salvage organization (again noted in the summary paragraphs MM CR-2 & MM CR-3 p.33): that such compliance place no undue, unanticipated financial burden on the current property owners; and, in the event of the sale of the Walton/Merriman property, that this consideration be passed onto the buyer.

Further, this resident requests clarification as to the "Riverside Municipal 20-Cultural Responses"; and further specification as to the application of "any other applicable City codes"...(found in the box paragraph summaries on p. 33)as this poses a seemingly ambiguous caveat for all residents who may come under review by the Cultural Heritage Board or the City Historic Preservation folks. I have been a member of the National Trust for Historic Preservation for over 25 years and support the interests of such preservation, with transparency and mutual respect for all parties concerned.

Signed: Ruth-Ellen M. Grimes  
APPN 241120011