

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

INSTRUCTIONS: This a WORD form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It may open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened and edited.

This WORD form will behave generally like a regular Word document *except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.*

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information. You can also copy and paste from another document into a text field.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.
- To add new lines in tables, tab past the last text box and a new row will appear. Or right click to insert new rows as with other tables.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to lwoodward@parks.ca.gov. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select *Create and Attach to Email*. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than 10mb total), you will need to send them in additional emails.

Name of CLG *City of Riverside*

Report Prepared by: *Teri Delcamp, Hist. Pres. Sr. Planner*

Date of commission/board review: *1/16/13*

Minimum Requirements for Certification

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

1. What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals.
REMINDER: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status.
No changes have been made to the certified local ordinance during the reporting period. Some changes are currently contemplated, including an additional preservation incentive section for a historic preservation fund that is in the process of being established, and minor changes to the Administrative Certificate of Appropriateness process. As these draft amended sections are prepared, they will be transmitted to OHP for review and comment prior to adoption.

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

2. Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal code.

<http://www.riversideca.gov/municode/title20.asp>

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated under local ordinance)

1. During the reporting period, did you have a local register program to create local landmarks/local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law? Yes No

2. If the answer is yes, then, during the reporting period, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	Number of Contributors in District	Date Recorded by County Recorder
<i>A.C.E. Hawthorne House and Tree/3747 Monroe Street</i>	<i>January 17, 2012</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>January 23, 2012</i>

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, “the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof.”

3. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors.

Property Name/Address	Date Removed
<i>3344-3350 Fourth Street</i>	<i>December 6, 2011</i>
<i>3478 Lime Street</i>	<i>December 6, 2011</i>
<i>2378 University Avenue</i>	<i>January 17, 2012</i>

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

1. Do you address historic preservation in your general plan? No
 Yes, in a separate historic preservation element. Yes, it is included in another element.

Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan.

http://www.riversideca.gov/planning/gp2025program/GP/16_Historic_Preservation_Element.pdf

2. Have you made any updates to your historic preservation plan or historic preservation element in your community's general plan? Yes No If you have, provide an electronic link. Type here.
3. When will your next General Plan update occur? **November 2012 (minor; updated context; already in the provided link)**

D. Review Responsibilities

1. Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?

All projects subject to design review go the commission.

Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? ***The design review responsibility is completed by either the Cultural Heritage Board or Cultural Heritage Board staff, depending on the project. The types of projects subject to administrative staff review only are outlined in the City's Historic Preservation Ordinance (Section 20.25.030). Generally, staff-reviewed projects are minor in nature and include in-kind replacement of materials, re-roofing, painting, walls and fences, small additions with limited or no public visibility, paving, landscaping, and signs. Review staff are typically preservation planners, preservation intern, or professional planners, but all cases are subject to final review by the Historic Preservation Officer or Historic Preservation Senior Planner. Staff may refer any of the above referenced types of projects to the Board if deemed necessary and does frequently when recommending denial or controversy is involved. All***

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

other projects are subject to review by the full Cultural Heritage Board. Staff decisions are appealable to the Board. Appeals of Board decisions are made to the Land Use Committee of the City Council, and then on to the full City Council.

2. California Environmental Quality Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? *Cultural Heritage Board staff has complete input into all planning projects that may potentially affect historic properties in accordance with CEQA review processes and the City's Cultural Resources Ordinance. Projects subject to CEQA review are handled in two ways. If potential impacts to cultural resources are one of a number of potential impacts, then the Initial Study and proposed mitigation are heard and commented on by the Cultural Heritage Board as part of the public hearing process. The Board's decision and comments on the IS are then forwarded to the Planning Commission. Under the City's Cultural Resources Ordinance, the Board has the authority to deny a project which may impact cultural resources. In cases where the only potential impact of a project is to a cultural resource, the CHB is the approving body. All decisions can be appealed ultimately to the City Council as noted above.*

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? *See above.*

4. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government? *Cultural Heritage Board staff has complete input into all planning projects that may potentially affect historic properties in accordance with Section 106 review processes and the City's Cultural Resources Ordinance. Section 106 reviews for HUD-funded projects are completed in-house in accordance with the City's Programmatic Agreement (PA), which has been in effect since July 2002. For all other Section 106 projects which are funded through the City, documents are prepared in house or by qualified consultants to forward to SHPO for concurrence.*
- What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? *See above.*

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address
Nancy L. Treen	Public	Dec. 3, 2008	Mar. 1, 2013	n/a
Lorena Altamirano <i>Resigned 8-16-12</i>	Biology	May 2, 2011	Mar. 1, 2013	Lorenag398@yahoo.com
John Field	Riverside County Board of Supervisors	Feb. 17, 2009	Mar. 1, 2013	jfield@rcbos.org
Charissa J. Leach	Engineering	Jun. 23, 2009	Mar. 1, 2013	cleach@adkan.com
Robert C. Garáfalo	Social Services and Religious Studies	Mar. 1, 2010	Mar. 1, 2014	rogarafa@riversidedpss.org
Hector R. Murrieta	Education, Folk Art, History and Traditional Dance	Mar. 1, 2010	Mar. 1, 2014	hector.murrieta@sbcusd.com
Genevieve Preston-Chavez	Art History/Curation/Archivist	Apr. 5, 2011	Mar. 1, 2015	Gbennybean@aol.com
Michelle Gilleece	Law	Apr. 19, 2011	Mar. 1, 2015	mhgilleece@gmail.com
Montgomery Van Wart	Public Administration/Education	Mar. 6, 2012	Mar. 1, 2016	mvanwart@csusb.edu
Ralph Megna	History/Community Development	Mar. 1, 2004	Mar. 1, 2012	ralph@empiredevelopmentsolutions.com

Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all members.

1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, why have the professional qualifications not been met and how is professional expertise being provided? **See chart.**
2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position will be filled? **Boardmember Altamirano resigned to accept employment on the East Coast. The City Clerk has received applications and Council will appoint her replacement on or before March 1, 2013.**

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? Yes No
2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? [See chart.](#)

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Erin Gettis - Historic Preservation Officer/Staff to CHB	Historic Architecture/ Architectural History/ Architecture/ Historic Preservation	Community Development Planning Division 1/2006 to Present	egettis@riversideca.gov
*Teri Delcamp - Historic Preservation Senior Planner	History/Architectural History	Community Development Planning Division 11/28/11 to Present	tdelcamp@riversideca.gov
Barbara Bouska - Associate Planner	Architectural History/Fine Arts/ Planning	Community Development Planning Division 5/1979 to Present	bbouska@riversideca.gov
Krystal Marquez - Historic Preservation Intern	Urban Planning/History	Community Development 10/2010 to 8/16/2012	n/a

*Attach resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for all new staff.

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. [See attached charts.](#)

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Type here.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement is that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Nancy L. Treen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating Appropriate Additions – Taylor Loudon, AIA/Historical Architect 	2 hours	City of Riverside CLG Staff/Taylor Loudon, AIA	May 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Window Repair and Replacement Design Guidelines 	1 hour	City of Riverside CLG Staff	August 2012
Lorena Altamirano	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating Appropriate Additions – Taylor Loudon, AIA/Historical Architect 	2 hours	City of Riverside CLG Staff/Taylor Loudon, AIA	May 2012
John Field	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Window Repair and Replacement Design Guidelines 	1 hour	City of Riverside CLG Staff	August 2012
Charissa J. Leach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating Appropriate Additions – Taylor Loudon, AIA/Historical Architect 	2 hours	City of Riverside CLG Staff/Taylor Loudon, AIA	May 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Window Repair and Replacement Design Guidelines 	1 hour	City of Riverside CLG Staff	August 2012
Robert C. Garáfalo	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating Appropriate Additions – Taylor Loudon, AIA/Historical Architect 	2 hours	City of Riverside CLG Staff/Taylor Loudon, AIA	May 2012
Hector R. Murrieta	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Window Repair and Replacement Design Guidelines 	1 hour	City of Riverside CLG Staff	August 2012
Genevieve Preston-Chavez	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluating Appropriate Additions – Taylor Loudon, AIA/Historical Architect 	2 hours	City of Riverside CLG Staff/Taylor Loudon, AIA	May 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Window Repair and Replacement Design Guidelines 	1 hour	City of Riverside CLG Staff	August 2012

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Michelle Gilleece	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluating Appropriate Additions – Taylor Loudon, AIA/Historical Architect 	2 hours	City of Riverside CLG Staff/Taylor Loudon, AIA	May 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Window Repair and Replacement Design Guidelines 	1 hour	City of Riverside CLG Staff	August 2012
Monty Van Wart	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brown Act Training 	1 hour	City of Riverside CLG Staff	March 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training on Preservation Fundamentals/Citywide Context 	1 hour	City of Riverside CLG Staff	March 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluating Appropriate Additions – Taylor Loudon, AIA/Historical Architect 	2 hours	City of Riverside CLG Staff/Taylor Loudon, AIA	May 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Window Repair and Replacement Design Guidelines 	1 hour	City of Riverside CLG Staff	August 2012
Erin Gettis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPF Annual Conference 	3 days	CPF Guest Lecturers	May 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amer. Planning Assoc. Conf. 	2 days	APA Guest Lecturers	April 2012
Teri Delcamp	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPF Annual Conference 	3 days	CPF Guest Lecturers	May 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amer. Planning Assoc. Conf. 	1 day	APA Guest Lecturers	April 2012
Barbara Bouska	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amer. Planning Assoc. Conf. 	1 day	APA Guest Lecturers	April 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NEPA Sustainable Assessment Conf. 	5 days	HUD Guest Lecturers	June 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geocodes for NR Nomination Forms webinar 	2 hours	NPS	July 2012
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Saving Our State Parks online forum 	1 hour	CPF and CSPF	Sept. 2012

III. Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year

NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results including historic contexts to OHP. If you have not done so, submit a copy (PDF or link if available online) with this report.

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
Marketplace Specific Plan Survey Context	City staff are working through a federal stimulus grant to provide a Marketplace Specific Plan update, which includes many historic structures that have previously been evaluated through a variety of other surveys now over 5 years old. Staff will be providing, in-house, an update to these resources as well as surveying any resources previously not surveyed. Additionally staff will be synthesizing several context statements previously written on the area as well as working with a consultant on the context portion.	The revised final survey context is submitted to OHP with this report (attached). The Specific Plan will be undergoing public hearing review next year. Upon adoption of the final documents, the survey will be used to update the historic inventory database and fully incorporated in the Planning process. However, the information is available to CHB staff for questions and inquiries regarding significance of potential properties within the project area.	Final draft attached.
University Avenue Specific Plan Survey Context	City staff are working through a federal stimulus grant to provide a University Avenue Specific Plan update, which includes many structures that have previously been evaluated through a variety of other surveys now over 5 years old. Staff will be providing, in-house, an update to these resources as well as surveying any resources previously not surveyed. Additionally staff will be preparing a context statement working with a consultant on the context portion.	The first draft is submitted to OHP with this report (attached), so the context has not yet been incorporated in the Planning process. However, the information is available to CHB staff for questions and inquiries regarding significance of potential properties within the project area.	First draft attached.

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results including historic contexts, to OHP. If you have not done so, submit a copy (electronic format preferred) with this report.

Survey Area	Context Based-yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
Marketplace Specific Plan Survey	Yes	Reconnaissance and Intensive Level	Approx. 200 acres	Approx. 160	Revised draft completed August 2012	Revised draft attached.
Brockton Arcade Historic Survey	Yes	Intensive Level	Approx. 30 acres	Approx. 50	In progress	No drafts submitted to date; still in process.
University Avenue Specific Plan Survey	Yes	Reconnaissance and Intensive Level	Approx. 179 acres	Approx. 155	First draft completed August 2012; 50% DPRs completed	First draft attached.

How are you using the survey data? *See response regarding Marketplace and University Avenue Specific Plan in table above under Contexts. The Brockton Arcade Historic Survey information gathered to date has guided an effort to create a set of Mid-Century Modern Commercial Design Guidelines, which are still in process. As with the other contexts and surveys, research information obtained for the surveys is available to CHB staff for questions and inquiries regarding significance of potential properties within the project areas.*

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

C. Corrections or changes to Inventory

Property Name/Address	Additions/Deletions to Inventory	Status Code Change From - To	Reason	Date of Change
A.C.E. Hawthorne House and Tree/3747 Monroe Street	Addition	None - 5S2	Designation	January 17, 2012
3344-3350 Fourth Street	Deletion	5S2 – 6Z	De-designation	December 6, 2011
3478 Lime Street	Deletion	6Y – 6Z	De-designation	December 6, 2011
2378 University Avenue	Deletion	5S2 – 6Z	De-designation	January 17, 2012

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs have you undertaken? Please provide copy of (or an electronic link) all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cable Access Show: Riverside's Historic Destinations Presentations on Modernist Architecture 	<p>-Hosted by City HPO. Additional episode completed for total of 6. Weber House: http://www.riversideca.gov/videos/historicdestinations.asp -HPO and HPSP made presentations to various committees, CHB and neighborhood groups related to Modernist resources (Downtown Library, Brockton Avenue, Cliffside/Grand Avenue Bluff, etc.)</p>	<p>Summer 2012</p> <p>Summer/Fall 2012</p>

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Item or Event	Description	Date

V. National Park Service Baseline Questionnaire for new CLGs (certified after September 30, 2011).

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to the NPS on your behalf. Guidance for completing the Baseline Questionnaire is located at www.nps.gov/hps/clg/forms.html.

A. CLG Inventory Program

1. What is the net cumulative number of historic properties in your CLG inventory as of September 30, 2011? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory from all programs, local, state, and Federal during the report year. Type here.

B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

1. As of September 30, 2011, did your local government have a local register program to create local landmarks/local historic districts (or a similar list of designations created by local law? Yes No
2. If the answer is yes, what is the net cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties (i.e., contributing properties) locally registered/designated as of September 30, 2011? Type here.

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

1. As of September 30, 2011, did your local government have a local historic preservation tax incentives program (e.g. Mills Act)? Yes No
2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties whose owners have taken advantage of those incentives as of September 30, 2011? Type here.

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

D. Local "Bricks and Mortar" Grants/Loans Program

1. As of September 30, 2011, did your local government have a locally-funded, historic preservation grants/loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? *Type here.*
2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties assisted by these grants or loans as of September 30, 2011? *Type here.*

E. Local Design Review/Regulatory Program

1. As of September 30, 2011, did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance requiring Commission/staff review of 1) local government undertakings and/or 2) changes to or impacts on properties with a historic district? Yes No
2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties that your local government has reviewed under that process as of September 30, 2011? *Type here.*

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

1. As of September 30, 2011, did your local government by purchase, donation, condemnation, or other means help to acquire or acquire itself some degree of title (e.g., fee simple interest or an easement) in historic properties?
Yes No
2. If the answer is yes, what is the cumulative number (or your best estimate of the number) of historic properties with a property interest acquisition assisted or carried out by your local government as of September 30, 2011?
Type here.

VI. Additional Information for National Park Service Annual Products Report for **CLGs (certified before September 30, 2011).**

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf. **Please read** “Guidance for completing the Annual Products Report for CLGs” located at www.nps.gov/hps/clg/forms.html.

A. CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2011-September 30, 2012, how many historic properties did your local government add to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

Program area	Number of Properties added
Local Designation	1

B. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

(This information is captured under I.B. above.)

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2011-September 30, 2012, did you have a Local Tax Incentives Program, such as the Mills Act? Yes No

2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program since October 1, 2011?

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Mills Act	2

D. Local “bricks and mortar” grants/loan program

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2011-September 30, 2012, did you have a local government historic preservation grants/loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? Yes No

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) after October 1, 2011? **Financial incentives for preservation are administered through the Housing Division and/or the Riverside Housing Development Corporation (RHDC), a non-profit corporation sponsored by the Redevelopment/ Successor Agency. They administer numerous low interest loans, federally funded CDBG grants and the Neighborhood Stabilization Program for low to moderate-income families. These grants/loans are primarily for repairs and upgrades of single-family residences, as well as the acquisition and rehabilitation of foreclosed and/or distressed properties for re-sale to moderate to low and moderate income families. Some owners of historic properties have benefited from the grant program because they fall into the low-moderate income bracket, even though preservation was not the focus of the grant award. Some of the NSP projects involve historic or potentially historic properties. The City is researching the possibility of creating a Historic Preservation Fund that may provide additional bricks and mortar opportunities.**

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
RHDC Low and Moderate Income Program	10 reviewed under the Programmatic Agreement
Neighborhood Stabilization Program	2 projects, 17 units

E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2011-September 30, 2012, did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance requiring Commission/staff review of 1) local government undertakings and/or 2) changes to, or impacts on, properties with a historic district? Yes No
2. If the answer is yes then, since October 1, 2011, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's Historic preservation regulatory law(s)? **During the reporting period, a total of 11 historic properties were reviewed by the Cultural Heritage Board for Certificates of Appropriateness. Approximately 50 historic properties were reviewed by CHB staff for Administrative Certificates of Appropriateness.**

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2011-September 30, 2012, did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? Yes No
2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) since October 1, 2011?
Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.	Type here.

VII. In addition to the minimum CLG requirements, OHP is interested in a Summary of Local Preservation Programs

- What are the most critical preservation planning issues? **A critical issue that Riverside continues to face with regard to historic preservation is new development and redevelopment pressure in historic areas throughout the city. The loss of the Redevelopment Agency funding means that more private monies will be required for development. This may result in more parcel consolidation so that private developments without public subsidy pencil out, but this may place additional pressure or threat on historic buildings. The actual effects have yet to be realized given the slow economic recovery.**

Mid-Century Modern architecture also continues to be a critical preservation planning issue, but the public understanding and acknowledgment of these resources is gaining. Through the recent survey of the Grand Avenue Bluff post-war residential tract, numerous community, commission and council meetings on a potential expansion/remodel to Riverside’s New Formalism style downtown library, and through next year’s Intensive Modernism Survey, it is hoped that the public’s understanding and appreciation for these resources continues to increase.

Staff also continues to address new technology, energy efficiency and green technology in home improvements within the every-day world of design review. Challenges include installation of solar panels on historic roofs; continuing pressure from owners desiring to change out wood windows for vinyl, and also more requests for vinyl fencing; stucco over wood siding, etc., which all come with the industries’ promises of lifetime guarantees and “no” maintenance. These material changes destroy historic finishes and character-defining features, alter the historic integrity of buildings and districts,

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

and cause irreparable harm in cases where the change is not reversible.

- What is the single accomplishment of your local government this year that has done the most to further preservation in your community? **The single most significant accomplishment to further preservation in Riverside has been the completion of an intensive post-war residential subdivision historical context and survey funded by a CLG grant, as well as the successful CLG grant application for an intensive survey of individually significant Modernist sites in the coming year. Once the completed context and survey have been approved by SHPO and the City Council, they will be a unique educational resource for the public to gain a better understanding of the importance these resources play in interpreting our recent past.**
- What recognition are you providing for successful preservation projects or programs? **See the Public Education section above and Goals section below.**
- How did you meet or not meet the goals identified in your annual report for last year? **The goals identified in the annual report for last year follow, along with a status update:**
 - **Work with Galvin Preservation Associates to complete the CLG Grant funded Cliffside Historic District Context Statement and Intensive Survey project.**
Context and Survey were completed and transmitted to SHPO per the CLG Grant requirements.
 - **Publish new Historic Riverside Press neighborhood newsletter in cooperation with the Marketing division including preservation information, benefits of being listed as a Cultural Resource and a preservation success story, and send to over 2600 residents citywide.**
Summer intern prepared articles for a new edition of the newsletter under the supervision of the HP Sr. Planner. The Community Development Department and Development Department merged in the middle of the reporting period, with the merger transition process still underway. The CDD Historic Preservation Section will be combined with the DD Neighborhoods Section, which already engages in regular electronic newsletters to all of Riverside's neighborhood areas. The Historic Riverside Press newsletter will be integrated into the Neighborhood electronic newsletters during the upcoming reporting period, which will result in the information reaching a far greater number of residents citywide.
 - **Complete and integrate the Marketplace Specific Plan context and survey into the Planning process.**
The Specific Plan will be proceeding through the public review and hearing process during the next

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

reporting period.

- **Complete and integrate the University Avenue Specific Plan survey into the Planning process.**

The Specific Plan will be proceeding through the public review and hearing process during the next reporting period.

- **Initiate and hopefully complete the Mile Square NW Historic District designation.**

This was not initiated during this reporting period, but is still a priority for the upcoming year.

- **Complete and integrate the Auto Context Survey into the Planning process.**

Due to competing priorities, this survey which was a private project mitigation requirement, has yet to be completed. Staff will continue to work with the consultant with the goal of completing it.

- **Complete and integrate the Brockton Arcade Survey and Design Guidelines, and initiate the Brockton Arcade District designation.**

Staff anticipates being able to take the survey and guidelines to City Council for approval in the next reporting period, with District designation initiation to follow.

- **Continue working with the Cultural Heritage Board to update the Historic District Design Guidelines.**

A project case has been implemented. Staff has continued to elicit input from the Cultural Heritage Board regarding an update to the Guidelines, including the specific training and discussion on window rehabilitation completed during this period.

- **Continue to implement the as-needed preservation consultant list created last reporting period for efficient and timely processing of contracts for cultural resources issues.**

Staff was able to utilize the as-needed preservation consultant list for code enforcement property abatement cases that affected potential resources, including demolition, or other Department projects to ensure they did not impact resources. The process was quick to implement because of the existing contracts, and so the Section was not perceived as delaying or unduly burdening other departments.

- **Work with the CHB to modify and refine the Awards Program and other outreach opportunities to allow for enhanced public awareness, education and participation.**

Another episode of Historic Destinations was filmed at the Weber House, and a seventh episode is scheduled in January 2013 at Evergreen Cemetery. Staff anticipates that current research that may result in the development of a Historic Preservation Incentive Fund will provide a broader vehicle for awards and other outreach opportunities that will enhance public awareness, education and participation.

- **Continue to coordinate with other departments and agencies to foster and promote cultural resource preservation goals and outcomes.**

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Staff has successfully coordinated with many departments and agencies during the reporting period to foster and promote cultural resource preservation goals and outcomes. These include:

-The IT Department to develop a scope of work for making the historic inventory database and website updates a priority for funding;

-The Public Utilities Dept. which launched a street light replacement program that will restore historically compatible fixtures in the Wood Streets Historic District and Neighborhood Conservation Area;

-The Development Department and their contractor and sub-contractors (who are experienced in successful restoration projects) to complete an outstanding restoration of the Municipal Auditorium;

-Library Division on public outreach and design alternatives for the Downtown Library to educate the public and preserve the character-defining features, massing and spatial relationships of the New Formalism building;

-Museum Department and the American Planning Association Inland Empire Section to develop a three day Historical Symposium centered on the Harada House, Riverside's Japanese American context, and broader social justice and civil liberties historical contexts for presentation in October 2012;

-The Housing Division to ensure that a potential historic district area determination remained intact so that contributing home was rehabilitated under the NSP rather than demolished;

-The County of Riverside Parks and Recreation Department and private families regarding the current condition and future plan for the county-owned Trujillo Adobe within the City of Riverside.

- What are your local historic preservation goals for 2012-2013?
 - **Work with Historic Resources Group to complete the CLG Grant funded Modernism Intensive Survey project.**
 - **Disseminate historic preservation newsletter integrated into the Neighborhoods electronic newsletter to residents citywide.**
 - **Complete revisions to the Japanese American context and MPS to address SHPO comments, take the survey to the CHB and City Council for approval, and integrate it into the Planning process.**
 - **Complete and integrate the Grand Avenue Bluff Survey, and Marketplace and University Avenue surveys, into the Planning process.**
 - **Initiate various historic district designations, which may include the Mile Square NW, Brockton Avenue and Grand Avenue Bluff areas, etc.**
 - **Continue working with the Cultural Heritage Board to update the Historic District Design Guidelines**

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

and any necessary amendments to Title 20 Historic Preservation ordinance, with SHPO review.

- Continue to research and potentially implement a Historic Preservation Fund for enhanced education and awareness, additional focused area/district surveys, and possible bricks and mortar restoration and/or rehabilitation opportunities, etc.
- Continue to coordinate with other departments and agencies to foster and promote cultural resource preservation goals and outcomes.
- So that we may better serve you in the future, are there specific areas and/or issues with which you could use technical assistance from OHP? **Additional training on identification, preservation and peer review of archaeological sites and studies and how informal consultation (when not required under SB 18 or Section 106) with Native American representatives can be effectively managed would be extremely helpful.**
- In what subject areas would you like to see training provided by the OHP? How you like would to see the training delivered (workshops, online, technical assistance bulletins, etc.)?

Training Needed or Desired	Desired Delivery Format
See above.	Combination of formats may work best depending on the desired participant audience.

- Would you be willing to host a training working workshop in cooperation with OHP? Yes No

XII Attachments

- Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for **all** commission members/alternatives and staff
- Minutes from commission meetings
- Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance

Certified Local Government Program -- 2011-2012 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2011 through September 30, 2012)

Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan

Public outreach publications

When report is completed, save and email as an attachment to lwoodward@parks.ca.gov .

You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select *Create and Attach to Email*.